State of California DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



1515 CLAY STREET, 20[™] FLOOR P.O. BOX 70550 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0550

Public: (510) 622-2100 Telephone: (510) 622-2149 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-Mail: Ed.Weil@doj.ca.gov

July 20, 2006

Attorneys for Parties Serving Proposition 65 Notices of Violation

RE: Proper Documents to be Included with Proposition 65 Notices of Violation

Dear Counsel:

This letter is being provided to all attorneys who have served the Attorney General with notices of violation under Proposition 65 since January 1, 2005 (and some others), in order to remind all concerned of the proper documents to be included with such notices. Compliance with these requirements serves the purposes of the law and helps avoid disputes concerning the notices. This letter is not intended to address issues concerning the exact content of such notices, but only to assure that the documents reach the Attorney General with all necessary elements included.

There are two sets of regulations that affect the content of the notices. The regulations of the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), are set forth in California Code of Regulations, at Title 22, section 12903. The regulations of the Attorney General governing the Certificate of Merit are set forth in California Code of Regulations at Title 11, section 3100-3103. Based on these requirements, a notice should include the following documents:

- 1. The actual text of the notice, including the information required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 12903(a).
- 2. A proof of service identifying "the time, place, and manner of service and each of the parties upon which the notice was served." (Cal.Code Regs., tit. 22, § 12903(b)(2).)
- 3. The Summary of Proposition 65 set forth in Exhibit A to section 12903 must be served on the alleged violators, but "need not be included in the copies of notices sent to public enforcement agencies." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 22, § 12903(b)(1).) You may find that it is more convenient to simply include the Proposition 65 summary in all copies of the notices, both to simplify the duplication process and to provide evidence that the Proposition 65 summary was served on the alleged violators. We do not object to receiving that additional document.
- 3. Where the notice alleges a violation of the warning requirement (Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6), a Certificate of Merit, meeting the requirements of the regulation. (Cal. Code Regs.,

Attorneys for Parties Serving Proposition 65 Notices of Violation July 20, 2006
Page 2

tit. 11, § 3103, 3101.)

4. Where the Certificate of Merit requirement applies, the copy served on the Attorney General must include supporting documentation required by the statute and regulation. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 3102.)

When notices are received, all portions of the notice except for the supporting documentation required by Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 3102 are public information and are both made available on request and posted on the Attorney General's website. The supporting documentation is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Evidence Code section 1040. (Health & Saf. Code § 25249.7(i).) In some instances, the Certificate of Merit, which is a public document, includes certain facts that are not necessary to the Certificate itself, but which could have been included only in the protected supporting documentation. In those instances, the Certificate of Merit nonetheless remains a public document for all purposes.

We specifically note that the regulations also provide that where a Certificate of Merit is required, "[a] second copy of the entire notice and Certificate of Merit shall be served on the Attorney General, clearly marked 'Attorney General Copy: Contains Official Information Pursuant to Evidence Code Section 1040' and shall attach all supporting documentation required by Section 3102." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 3100.) This regulation has been in effect since January 17, 2003, and is intended to make it easier for the Attorney General to promptly post all public information, while assuring that the protected information is not publicly disclosed. In fact, however, many of the notices we receive do not comply with this requirement. Because failure to comply with the "separate copies" requirement deprives neither the Attorney General or any other recipient of the notices of any information to which they are entitled, we do not think failure to comply with it would preclude a noticing party from filing an action based on an otherwise valid notice. Nonetheless, we do not wish to see time and resources spent litigating such issues. More importantly, we have found over time that failure to comply actually does increase the burden on the Attorney General and increase the likelihood that information will inadvertently not be treated according to its proper status.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

EDWARD G. WEIL

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

SMSU-

For BILL LOCKYER Attorney General

cc: Attached Proof of Service

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: Proposition 65 Notices of Violation

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter; my business address is: 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, P.O. Box 70550, Oakland, California 94612-0550.

On <u>July 20, 2006</u>, I served the attached **Proper Documents to Be Included with Proposition 65 Notices of Violation**, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Mail at Oakland, California, addressed as follows:

Laralei S. Paras Paras Law Group 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941

Christopher P. Ridout Law Offices of Rose, Klein & Marias LLP 801 South Grand Avenue, 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017-4645

Anthony G. Graham Graham & Martin, LLP 950 South Coast Drive, Suite 220 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Eric Somers Lexington Law Group, LLP 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122

Thomas C. Clarke
Ropers, Majeski, Kohn & Bentley
Lawyers Ropers Majeski Kohn Bentley
201 Spear Street, Suite 1000
San Francisco, CA 94105

Christopher Martin
The Martin Law Group
104 W. Chestnut Street, #250
Hinsdale, IL 60521

Michael Freund Attorney at Law 1915 Addison Street Berkeley, California 94704-1101

Michael R. Lozeau Law Office of Michael R. Lozeau 67 Juanita Way San Francisco, CA 94127

Andrew L. Packard Law Offices of Andrew L. Packard 294 Page Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. Yeroushalmi & Associates 3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 480 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Brian Gaffney Law Office of Brian Gaffney 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610

Law Offices of Neal T. Wiener Attorney At Law 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 615 (East Tower) Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Clifford A. Chanler Chanler Law Group 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Cannaan, CT 06840

William Verick Klamath Environmental Law Center 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501

Keith A. Bregman, Esq. Lipton & Margolin 11320 Magnolia Blvd. North Hollywood, CA 91601

Robert Hines or Scott Sellwood Farella Braun & Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street (Russ Building) San Francsico, CA 94104

James R. Wheaton Environmental Law Foundation 1736 Franklin Street, Ninth Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Jason Hartley ROSS, DIXON & BELL, LLP 550 West B Street, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92101

Roger L. Carrick
The Carrick Law Group P.C.
350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2930
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Lee Harwell, Jr. Law Office of Lee Harwell, Jr. 3424 Carson Street, Suite 320 Torrance, California 90503

Sklover & Associates, LLC Attorneys and Counselors At Law Ten Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10020

Gregory P. Brock Law Office of Gregory P. Brock 918 Parker Street, Suite A21 Berkeley, CA 94710

Raphael Metzger, Esq. Metzger Law Group 401 East Ocean Blvd., Suite 800 Long Beach, CA 90802

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 20, 2006, at Oakland, California.

Esther McDonald Esther McDonald Signature